

THE RENORMALIZED EULER CHARACTERISTIC AND L -SPACE SURGERIES

RAIF RUSTAMOV

ABSTRACT. Using the equivalence between the renormalized Euler characteristic of Ozsváth and Szabó, and the Turaev torsion normalized by the Casson-Walker invariant, we make calculations for $S^3_{p/q}(K)$. An alternative proof of a theorem by Ozsváth and Szabó on L -space surgery obstructions is provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the beautiful paper [2], Ozsváth and Szabó consider the *correction terms* of $Y = S^3_{2n-1/2}(K)$, where K is a knot in S^3 , and prove that if Y is an L -space, then there is a symmetry among these correction terms. Given an L -space whose correction terms are known, one can check whether this symmetry is satisfied, and if not, it would follow that the manifold at hand cannot be obtained as a $\frac{2n-1}{2}$ surgery on a knot in S^3 . This obstruction is then used to calculate some new unknotting numbers. The symmetry was later generalized to the case of p/q surgery in [4].

We remind that for a rational homology sphere Y and a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t}$ on it, the *renormalized Euler characteristic* $\hat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ is defined as

$$\hat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t}) = \chi(HF_{\text{red}}(Y, \mathfrak{t})) - \frac{1}{2}d(Y, \mathfrak{t}),$$

where $d(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ denotes the correction terms. By definition, Y is an L -space if and only if $HF_{\text{red}}^+(Y, \mathfrak{t}) \cong 0$. One immediately sees that for L -spaces any symmetry of correction terms is equivalent to the symmetry of $\hat{\chi}$'s. This points out that calculating the renormalized Euler characteristic for $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ could be interesting.

Given a knot K , let

$$\Delta_K(T) = a_0 + \sum_{j>0} a_j (T^j + T^{-j})$$

be the symmetrized Alexander polynomial of K , and define

$$t_i(K) = \sum_{j \geq 1} j a_{|i|+j}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \neq 0$ and q be relatively prime integers. For each $\mathfrak{t}$, the renormalized Euler characteristic $\hat{\chi}(S^3_{p/q}(K), \mathfrak{t})$ can be expressed in terms of the coefficients of the Alexander polynomial of K .*

This theorem follows from the identification $\widehat{\chi} = -\tau + \lambda$, where τ is the Turaev torsion, and λ is the Casson-Walker invariant, see [5]. In fact, we give a precise formula for the renormalized Euler characteristic in Proposition 3.1. The next theorem is proved using this formula.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p and q be relatively prime integers with $p/q > 1$. Suppose that the Alexander polynomial of knot K satisfies $a_j = 0$ for $j > \frac{p}{2q} + 1$. Let n be any integer, and denote $r = \lceil \frac{pn}{q} - 1 \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then for any $|i| \leq p/2q$ we have*

$$\widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(K), r + i) - \widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(U), r + i) = t_i,$$

where U is the unknot, and we have used the affine identification $\text{Spin}^c(S_{p/q}^3(K)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ explained in Section 2; also see Remark 3.2.

Note that if $p/q \leq 1$, then the condition of the theorem will force $a_j = 0$ for $j > 1$. In this case, it follows from the calculations that

$$\widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(K), -1) - \widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(U), -1) = \lceil q/p \rceil a_1,$$

and the difference is zero for all remaining Spin^c structures.

As a corollary of this theorem we obtain an alternative proof for the Theorem 1.2 of [4].

Corollary 1.3. *Let K be a knot which admits an L -space surgery for some $\frac{p}{q} > 1$. Then, for all integers i with $|i| \leq \frac{p}{2q}$ we have that*

$$d(S_{p/q}^3(K), i - 1) - d(S_{p/q}^3(U), i - 1) = -2t_i,$$

while for all $|i| > \frac{p}{2q}$, we have $t_i(K) = 0$.

Proof. If $S_{p/q}^3(K)$ is an L -space, then it is known that $S_{\lceil p/q \rceil}^3(K)$ is also an L -space. However, then $\lceil p/q \rceil \geq 2g(K) - 1$, where $g(K)$ is the degree of the Alexander polynomial of K . Thus, $a_j = 0$ for $j > \frac{\lceil p/q \rceil + 1}{2}$, i.e. also for $j > \frac{p}{2q} + 1$. The corollary follows by taking $n = 0$ in the previous theorem and observing that for L -spaces

$$\widehat{\chi}(Y, \mathbf{t}) = -\frac{1}{2}d(Y, \mathbf{t}).$$

□

The organization of this paper is as follows: the required preliminaries are presented in Section 2. Calculations needed for Theorem 1.1 are made in Section 3. We finish with the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 4.

Acknowledgments I am pleased to thank my advisor Zoltán Szabó for very helpful conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let Y be a rational homology sphere, $\mathfrak{t}$ be a Spin^c structure on it. We can consider the Heegaard Floer homology group $HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{t})$. This is a $\mathbb{Q}$ graded module over $Z[U]$. We can also consider a simpler version, $HF^\infty(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ for which one can prove

$$HF^\infty(Y, \mathfrak{t}) \cong Z[U, U^{-1}] \quad (1)$$

for each $\mathfrak{t}$. There is a natural $Z[U]$ equivariant map

$$\pi : HF^\infty(Y, \mathfrak{t}) \longrightarrow HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{t})$$

which is zero in sufficiently negative degrees and an isomorphism in all sufficiently positive degrees. $HF_{\text{red}}^+(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ is defined as

$$HF_{\text{red}}^+(Y, \mathfrak{t}) = HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{t}) / \text{Im} \pi.$$

Let $d(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ be the *correction term*, defined as the minimal degree of any non-torsion class of $HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ lying in the image of π . As was mentioned, the *renormalized Euler characteristic* $\widehat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t})$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t}) = \chi(HF_{\text{red}}(Y, \mathfrak{t})) - \frac{1}{2}d(Y, \mathfrak{t}).$$

The *Casson-Walker* invariant,

$$\lambda : \{\text{rational homology spheres modulo homeomorphisms}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

is an extension of Casson's invariant to rational homology spheres, see [8]. It perhaps worth noting that in our normalization $\lambda(\Sigma(2, 3, 5)) = -1$, where $\Sigma(2, 3, 5)$ is oriented as the boundary of the negative definite E_8 plumbing.

We will also consider the normalized Casson-Walker invariant given by $\lambda'(Y) = |H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})| \lambda(Y)$. Note that $\lambda'(S^3) = 0$. Let U be the unknot, and K be any knot in S^3 . Walker's surgery formula implies that

$$\lambda'(S_{p/q}^3(K)) - \lambda'(S_{p/q}^3(U)) = q \cdot \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 a_j, \quad (2)$$

where a_j are the coefficients of the Alexander polynomial of K .

The *Turaev torsion function* is another important invariant of three-manifolds. Turaev first defined it for combinatorial Euler structures, but later the connection with these and Spin^c structures emerged, providing us with a function

$$\tau(Y, \cdot) : \text{Spin}^c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

see [7].

The Turaev torsion can be also introduced in the case of three-manifolds with torus boundary. Indeed, consider the manifold $M = S^3 - \text{nd}(K)$ with torus boundary. We denote the set of *relative* Spin^c structures on M by $\underline{\text{Spin}}^c(M)$. The Turaev torsion of this manifold is a function

$$\tau(M, \cdot) : \underline{\text{Spin}}^c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Actually, under a certain affine map $\underline{\text{Spin}}^c(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$, one has

$$\tau(M, k) = \text{sign}(k) \cdot \sum_{j \geq \frac{|k|+1}{2}} a_j,$$

for any odd integer k , see 9.1.4 of [7]. Here a_i are again the coefficients of the Alexander polynomial of K . In what follows, we will use this particular identification of $\underline{\text{Spin}}^c(M)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$. Of course, given a relative Spin^c structure on $M = S^3 - \text{nd}(K)$ we can look at the Spin^c structure on $S_{p/q}^3(K)$ which extends it. Thus, we can get an affine identification $\text{Spin}^c(S_{p/q}^3(K)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as follows: if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}} \cong \underline{\text{Spin}}^c(M)$ extends to $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Spin}^c(S_{p/q}^3(K))$, then

$$i \equiv \frac{k-1}{2} \pmod{p}.$$

Let x be any integer that satisfies $qx \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Remember that $H_1(S_{p/q}^3(K); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the meridian of the knot K , and the homology class of K is x times this generator. The following formula is a consequence of 10.6.3.2 of [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(S_{p/q}^3(K), i) - \tau(S_{p/q}^3(K), i+x) &= \\ &= \tau(S_{p/q}^3(U), i) - \tau(S_{p/q}^3(U), i+x) + \sum_{\{k | i \equiv \frac{k-1}{2} \pmod{p}\}} \tau(M, k), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Note that, to get this formula we have replaced the purely homological data of the original formula by terms coming from the surgery on unknot.

Let Y be a rational homology sphere, $\mathfrak{t}$ be Spin^c structure on it. We have the following formula for the renormalized Euler characteristic, see [5]:

$$\widehat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t}) = -\tau(Y, \mathfrak{t}) + \lambda(Y). \quad (4)$$

Note that since Turaev torsions add up to zero, we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} \widehat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t}) = \lambda'(M). \quad (5)$$

3. CALCULATIONS

From now on we fix the knot K , and two relatively prime integers p and q . Note that calculating the renormalized Euler characteristics $\widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(K), i)$ is equivalent to computing

$$S_i = \widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(K), i) - \widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(U), i),$$

because the second term is already known, [1]. For $0 \leq i < p$ let

$$T_i = \sum_{\{k | i \equiv \frac{k-1}{2} \pmod{p}\}} \tau(M, k),$$

which is readily calculated from the Alexander polynomial of K . Combining (3) and (4) we get

$$S_{i+x} - S_i = T_i,$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Fix any $l \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. To get a formula for S_l , we write the following equations

$$S_{l+(j+1)x} - S_{l+jx} = T_{l+jx},$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. It follows that $S_{l+x} = S_l + T_l$, $S_{l+2x} = S_l + T_l + T_{l+x}$ and so on. Using (2) and (5), we get

$$pS_l + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (p-j-1)T_{l+jx} = q \cdot \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 a_j.$$

Thus,

$$S_l = \frac{1}{p} \left(q \cdot \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 a_j - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (p-j-1)T_{l+jx} \right), \quad (6)$$

which establishes the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let K be a knot in S^3 , $p > 0$ and q relatively prime integers, and $x = -q^{-1} \pmod{p}$, then*

$$\widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(K), l) = \widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(U), l) + \frac{q}{p} \cdot \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 a_j - \frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (p-j-1)T_{l+jx},$$

where $\widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(U), i) = \widehat{\chi}(L(-p, q), i) = -d(L(-p, q), i)/2$.

Remark 3.2. *Let p be odd, so there is a unique Spin structure on $S_{p/q}^3(K)$. In the canonical affine identification $\text{Spin}^c(S_{p/q}^3(K)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ this Spin structure corresponds to 0, and conjugation is equivalent to multiplication by -1 . We denote the Spin^c structure corresponding to $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ in this identification by $\mathfrak{s}_i$ (thus, $\mathfrak{s}_0$ is the Spin structure, $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_i = \mathfrak{s}_{-i}$), and in our identification by $\mathfrak{t}_i$. Let us spell out the correspondence between these two identifications. Note that we always have $T_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 0$, which means $S_{\frac{p-1}{2}+x} = S_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. This universal equality must be a consequence of the conjugation symmetry $\widehat{\chi}(Y, \bar{\mathfrak{t}}) = \widehat{\chi}(Y, \mathfrak{t})$. Thus, $\mathfrak{t}_{\frac{p-1}{2}+x}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ are conjugate. If $\mathfrak{s}_i = \mathfrak{t}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, then $i+x = -i \pmod{p}$, i.e. $i = \frac{p-1}{2}x \pmod{p}$. As a result, $\mathfrak{t}_{\frac{(p-1)(1-x)}{2} \pmod{p}}$ is the Spin structure of $S_{p/q}^3(K)$.*

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

From now on we assume that $q > 1$. The case when $q = 1$ is only slightly different, and is left to the reader. Note that we can simplify the previous formula under certain

conditions on the Alexander polynomial. Let the knot K be such that $a_j = 0$ for $j \geq p/2$, which means that for $0 \leq i < p/2$,

$$T_i = \sum_{\{k | i \equiv \frac{k-1}{2} \pmod{p}\}} \tau(M, k) = \tau(M, 2i+1) = \sum_{j \geq i+1} a_j,$$

and similarly, for $p/2 \leq i \leq p-1$,

$$T_i = - \sum_{j \geq p-i} a_j.$$

With l fixed, let $0 \leq u_j \leq p-1$ satisfy

$$l + u_j x = j - 1 \pmod{p},$$

and $0 \leq v_j \leq p-1$ satisfy

$$l + v_j x = -j \pmod{p}.$$

Let

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^i (u_j - v_j),$$

and since $x = -q^{-1} \pmod{p}$, one has

$$c_i = p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\left\{ \frac{q(l+1-j)}{p} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{q(l+j)}{p} \right\} \right),$$

where $\{\alpha\} = \alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ denotes the fractional value of the number α . Now we can rewrite (6) as

$$S_l = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i \geq 1} (qi^2 + c_i) a_i. \quad (7)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 now becomes an exercise in arithmetic. The assumption of the theorem is that $p/q > 1$ and $q > 1$, and that the Alexander polynomial of knot K satisfies $a_j = 0$ for $j > \frac{p}{2q} + 1$. Given any $l \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, let us find the coefficient of a_1 in S_l . We have find the value of

$$c_1 = p \left(\left\{ \frac{ql}{p} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{q(l+1)}{p} \right\} \right).$$

Obviously, $\frac{q(l+1)}{p} - \frac{ql}{p} = \frac{q}{p} < 1$, which means that $c_1 = -q$ unless there is an integer n so that

$$\frac{ql}{p} < n \leq \frac{q(l+1)}{p},$$

i.e. $l = \lceil \frac{qn}{p} - 1 \rceil$, in which case we have $c_1 = p - q$. As a result, the coefficient of a_1 in S_l is equal to 1 if $l = \lceil \frac{qn}{p} - 1 \rceil$, and equal to 0 otherwise.

Any a_j with $j < \frac{p}{2q} + \frac{1}{2}$ can be analyzed similarly, with the result that a_j 's coefficient in S_l is equal to zero unless $l = \lceil \frac{qn}{p} - 1 \rceil + i$, where $|i| \leq j - 1$, in which case the coefficient is equal to $j - i$.

The case of a_j with $\frac{p}{2q} + \frac{1}{2} \leq j \leq \frac{p}{2q} + 1$ is a bit different, because the difference $\frac{q(l+j)}{p} - \frac{q(l+1-j)}{p}$ is not necessarily less than 1, thus there is a chance that between these two fractions two integers may appear. However, since we are interested in S_l with $l = \lceil \frac{qn}{p} - 1 \rceil + i$, where $i \leq p/2q$, this does not happen. As a result, a_j 's coefficient in S_l for $l = \lceil \frac{qn}{p} - 1 \rceil + i$, where $|i| \leq j - 1$ is equal to $j - i$. Since $a_j = 0$ for $j > \frac{p}{2q} + 1$, we have proved that given any integer n , for every $|i| \leq p/2q$ we have

$$\widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(K), r + i) - \widehat{\chi}(S_{p/q}^3(U), r + i) = t_i,$$

where $r = \lceil \frac{pn}{q} - 1 \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] **P S Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary*, *Advances in Mathematics* 173 (2003) 179–261
- [2] **P S Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Knots with unknotting number one and Heegaard Floer homology* (2004), [arXiv:math.GT/0401426](#)
- [3] **P S Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Holomorphic disks and genus bounds*, *Geom. Topol.* 8 (2004) 311–334 (electronic)
- [4] **P S Ozsváth, Z Szabó**, *Knot Floer homology and rational surgeries*, [arXiv:math.GT/0504404](#)
- [5] **R Rustamov**, *A surgery formula for renormalized Euler characteristic of Heegaard Floer homology*, [arXiv:math.GT/0409294](#)
- [6] **V Turaev**, *Torsion invariants of Spin^c structures on 3-manifolds*, *Math. Research Letters* 4 (1997) 679–695
- [7] **V Turaev**, *Torsions of 3-dimensional manifolds*, volume 208 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2002)
- [8] **K Walker**, *An extension of Casson's invariant*, volume 126 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1992)

THE PROGRAM IN APPLIED AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY,
NEW JERSEY 08540, USA

E-mail address: rustamov@princeton.edu